

Terminal Sterilization of Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) Allografts: A Systematic Review of Outcomes

Bryan G. Vopat, M.D.¹, Daniel J. Gross, M.D.²,

Jeffery Wong, M.D.³, Petar Golijanin, B.S.⁴,

Stephen Parada, M.D.⁵, Armin Tarakemeh, B.A.¹,

CAPT Matthew T. Provencher, M.D., M.C., USNR⁶

¹University of Kansas Medical Center, Department of Sports Medicine and Orthopedics, Kansas City, KS

²DGMD Medical, Omaha, NE

³University of California Los Angeles Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA

⁴Geisel School of Medicine, Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, NH

⁵Augusta University Medical Center, Augusta, GA

⁶The Steadman Clinic, Vail, CO

Received April 2, 2019; Accepted for publication Sept. 20, 2019; Published online Feb. 28, 2020

ABSTRACT

Introduction. Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are common and reconstruction can be completed with either autograft or allograft tissue. However, there is concern about an increased failure rate with allograft tissue. The purpose of this study was to systematically review the available evidence to determine the effect of irradiation and level of dose on the failure rates of allograft in ACL reconstruction.

Methods. A literature search was performed using PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science from January 2000 to September 2013. Inclusion criteria consisted of the following: (1) primary, unilateral, single-bundle allograft ACL procedure, (2) studies with data documenting graft type and terminal sterilization technique, (3) subjective assessments of outcome, and (4) objective assessments of outcome. Studies without reported subjective and objective outcomes and those pertaining to revision ACL reconstruction were excluded. Failures were defined and compared between irradiated and non-irradiated grafts, as well as between grafts irradiated with 1.2 - 1.8 Mrad and those with 2.0 - 2.5 Mrad.

Results. Of the 242 articles identified via initial search, 17 studies met the final inclusion criteria. A total of 1,090 patients were evaluated in this study, all having undergone unilateral primary ACL reconstruction with allograft tissue with 155 failures. The failure rate between non-irradiated (98/687, 14.7%) and irradiated (57/408, 14.0%) was not statistically significant ($p = 0.86$). Grafts in the high-dose irradiation group (27/135, 20.0%) had a statistically significant higher ($p < 0.001$) rate of failure than those in the low-dose irradiation group (30/273, 10.6%).

Conclusion. The irradiation of an allograft increases the risk of failure after an ACL reconstruction but the use of lower doses of radiation decreases that risk. *Kans J Med* 2020;13:23-28.

INTRODUCTION

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are common and reconstruction can be completed with either autograft or allograft tissue. One study showed that the allograft in primary reconstruction had increased between 2002 and 2008.¹ The benefits of using allograft for ACL reconstruction are numerous and include shorter operative times, increased availability, and graft selection options, as well as decreased incidence of postoperative arthrofibrosis. In addition, there is no risk of donor site morbidity and complications, such as anterior knee pain, loss of knee extension, and loss of knee flexion strength, are avoided.

One of the disadvantages of using allograft tissue is a higher reported rate of graft failure. Different studies have shown rates of failure up to three times higher in patients who underwent ACL reconstruction with allograft compared to autograft.^{2,3} Another disadvantage is the possibility of disease transmission from the allograft, although the risk is very low. Estimates of viral transmission risk from unprocessed tissues that are nucleic acid amplification test negative is 1 in 173,000 for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), 1 in 421,000 for Hepatitis C, and 1 in 100,000 for Hepatitis B.⁴ Fresh-frozen, freeze-drying, or cryopreservation are the different ways an allograft initially can be processed. These techniques reduce the graft's immunogenicity but do not eradicate the chance of bacterial or viral transmission. Donor screening procedures, such as the exclusion of high-risk groups and serologic testing, also reduce the risk of disease transmission. Despite the low risk of transmission, secondary sterilization of the graft often is completed. Ethylene oxide is no longer used due to higher graft failure rates⁵ and reports of chronic synovitis.⁶ Low-dose gamma irradiation between 1.0 and 2.5 Mrad is the accepted protocol for secondary sterilization by most tissue banks, as high levels (> 4.0 Mrad) have been shown to weaken the properties of the allograft.⁷ However, up to 5.0 Mrad is necessary to inactivate viruses, such as HIV,^{8,9} so the transmission risk is never eliminated completely.

The effect of graft sterilization on outcomes of ACL reconstruction has not been well studied. The purpose of this study was to systematically review and evaluate the available evidence to determine the effect of irradiation and different doses of irradiation on the failure rates of allograft in ACL reconstruction.

METHODS

A literature search was performed using PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science from January 2000 to September 2013. Keywords used in this search were: anterior cruciate ligament, ACL, reconstruction, allograft, irradiated, non-irradiated, and surgery. This search resulted in 242 unique articles.

For our analysis, therapeutic prospective and retrospective studies were selected. The inclusion criteria consisted of the following: (1) primary, unilateral, single-bundle allograft ACL procedure, (2) studies with data documenting graft type and terminal sterilization technique, (3) subjective assessments of outcome, and (4) objective assessments of outcome. Studies without reported subjective and objective outcomes and those pertaining to revision ACL reconstruction were excluded.

Collected data included graft type, terminal sterilization technique, irradiation level, demographic data, and subjective and objective outcome assessments. Demographics included age, gender, mean follow-up, and time to failure when reported.

Subjective outcome assessments included International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC), Lysholm, Tegner, and Cincinnati knee scores. Objective outcome assessments included functional IKDC scores, Lachman examination, Anterior Drawer test, Pivot shift test, instrumented laxity measurements with a KT-1000 arthrometer, and graft rupture and revision rates.

Failure rates, when not explicitly defined and reported in a study, were based on criteria determined by the authors, who defined failure as graft rupture, surgical revision, subjective IKDC score less than 80, Tegner Lysholm knee score less than 65, functional IKDC score of C/D corresponding to abnormal/severely abnormal, or laxity resulting in anterior translation greater than 5 mm measured via either KT-1000 arthrometer or Lachman examination. Whenever possible, or when failure rates varied between criteria, this study used functional IKDC scores, arguing that the patient reported outcome (PRO) more accurately reflects how well the patient is doing clinically.

Statistical Analysis. Data were analyzed using a Chi-square test in assessing relationships between irradiated and non-irradiated grafts, along with low-dose radiation (1.2 - 1.8 Mrad) and higher dose (2.0 - 2.5 Mrad) with regard to failure. An alpha probability of 0.05 was used as the threshold for statistical significance in two-tailed comparisons. Means are presented with standard deviations. All statistics were performed with Stata v. 12 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Of the 242 articles identified via initial search, 120 studies were excluded by title because it illustrated that the study did not meet the inclusion criteria. Three reviewers analyzed the remaining 126 studies independently. Of these, 22 studies met initial inclusion criteria. Upon further review, four of these studies were excluded for failing to differentiate between terminal sterilization techniques when reporting graft failures, and one other study failed to note the graft type when reporting failure rates.

Seventeen studies eventually met the final inclusion criteria. With the exception of two studies,^{10,11} minimum follow-up was 24 months. In a study by Gorschewsky et al.,¹² outcomes data were included from their two-year follow-up only to maintain consistency with other studies (Table 1). In total, 1,090 patients were evaluated in this study, all having undergone unilateral primary ACL reconstruction with allograft tissue with 132 failures. The characteristics are broken down by graft type in Table 2.

Terminal sterilization primarily consisted of irradiated and non-irradiated grafts. Of the non-irradiated allografts, the vast majority were fresh frozen tissue. Another study used allografts processed via cryopreservation, which was discontinued after report of infections.¹³ The study switched to fresh frozen grafts, but the authors did not differentiate between terminal sterilization techniques when reporting failure rates.

Seven studies (n = 408) utilized irradiated allografts. Thirteen studies^{14,31-42} (n = 682) utilized non-irradiated allografts. The demographics for these two groups are seen in Table 3. The failure rate

between non-irradiated (14.7%) and irradiated (14.0%) was not statistically significant (p = 0.86). The characteristics of the failures of each group are summarized in Table 4.

The amount of radiation used to sterilize allografts fell into one of two categories. Allografts sterilized with 2.0 - 2.5 Mrad of radiation were considered high-dose irradiated allografts, and those sterilized with 1.2 - 1.8 Mrad were considered low-dose. These two groups were compared and the demographics are seen in Table 5. Grafts in the high-dose irradiation group had a statistically significant higher (20.0%) rate of failure than the low-dose irradiation group (10.6%, p < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

The principal result of this study did not find a statistically significant difference in failure rates between irradiated and non-irradiated grafts. However, when the data were analyzed further and adjusted for different levels of radiation, a statistically significant greater amount of graft failures was found in the high-dose irradiated group versus the low-dose irradiated group. A previous review by Park et al.¹⁵ found lower clinical outcome scores in low-dose irradiation compared to non-irradiated grafts. However, their cutoff for low-dose radiation was less than 2.5 Mrad. The difference in outcome scores was not analyzed, but rather failure rate was compared. In our analysis, when the low-dose radiation was divided into different groups, very low radiation (1.2 - 1.8 Mrad) allografts had a statistically significant lower rate of failure compared to those between 2.0 Mrad and 2.5 Mrad.

Using low-dose gamma irradiation (1.5 - 2.5 Mrad) may reduce the risk of disease transmission and leave the mechanical properties of the graft intact.¹⁶ The amount of irradiation needed depends on the pathogen that is being treated. This includes 0.5 Mrad for spore forming bacteria,¹⁷ 2.1 Mrad for bacterial spores,¹⁸ and 0.8 Mrad for yeast and molds.¹⁹ Unfortunately, HIV can require anywhere from 1.5^{20,21} to 4 Mrad²² to be eradicated. Therefore, if using a very low dose of radiation (1.2 - 1.8 Mrad) as our study suggests, one would have to ensure there was extensive screening and testing to minimize the risk of transmission of HIV and hepatitis.

Biomechanical studies have shown that irradiation of greater than 2.5 Mrad are known to have detrimental effects on graft properties.^{7,23} Other biomechanical studies have looked at 2.0 Mrad and have found a decrease in tensile strength when compared to non-irradiated controls.^{23,24} Recently, a study by Yanke et al.²⁵ found that low-dose (1.0 - 1.2 Mrad) gamma irradiation decreases bone-patellar tendon-bone (BTB) graft stiffness by 20%, but it does not affect other failure or cyclic parameters. Therefore, they recommended low-dose (1.0 - 1.2 Mrad) gamma irradiation of central third human BTB allografts and concluded that it is not deleterious to pre-implantation biomechanical properties. This biomechanical study's conclusion was consistent with what we found in the literature, which is low-dose radiation (1.2 - 1.8 Mrad) had a statistically significant lower failure rate than higher dose (2.0 - 2.5 Mrad) irradiated allografts.²⁶

Table 1. Summary of all articles.

Author	Level of Evidence	Total Number of Patients	Irradiated; Number (%)	Non-Irradiated; Number (%)	Definition of Failure	Irradiated Failures; Number (%)	Non-Irradiated Failures; Number (%)
Rappe ³¹	3	75	33/75 (44%)	42/75 (56%)	> 5 mm	11/33 (33.3%)	1 (2.4%)
Sun ³²	1	66	32/66 (48%)	34/66 (52%)	> 5 mm	11/32 (34.4%)	3 (8.8%)
Rihn ¹⁰	3	39	39/39 (100%)	0	> 5 mm	1/39 (2.6%)	0 (0%)
Singhal ³³	4	69	0 (0%)	69/69 (100%)	Rupture	0 (0%)	16 (23.2%)
Smith ³⁴	4	19	0 (0%)	19/19 (100%)	> 5 mm	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Shah ¹¹	4	144	144/144 (100%)	0 (0%)	Rupture	8/19 (5.6%)	0 (0%)
Barrett ³⁵	3	78	0 (0%)	78/78 (100%)	Revision	0 (0%)	19/78 (24.4%)
Poehling ³⁶	2	41	0 (0%)	41/41 (100%)	IKDC	0 (0%)	11/41 (26.8%)
Gorchewsky ¹²	2	97	97/97 (100%)	0 (0%)	Rupture	20/97 (20.6%)	0 (0%)
Kustos ³⁷	3	53	0 (0%)	53/53 (100%)	Rupture	0 (0%)	2/53 (3.8%)
Noh ³⁸	1	32	0 (0%)	32/32 (100%)	IKDC	0 (0%)	6/32 (18.8%)
Kim ³⁹	4	131	0 (0%)	131/131 (100%)	IKDC	0 (0%)	33 (25.2%)
Li ⁴⁰	3	30	0 (0%)	30/30 (100%)	TKLS	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Noh ⁴¹	2	67	0 (0%)	67/67 (100%)	Lachman	0 (0%)	3/67 (4.5%)
Lawhorn ⁴²	2	48	0 (0%)	48/48 (100%)	> 5 mm	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Barber ⁴³	3	32	32/32 (100%)	0 (0%)	> 5 mm	2/32 (6.3%)	0 (0%)
Sun ¹⁴	1	69	31/69 (45%)	38/69 (55%)	IKDC	4/31 (12.9%)	4/38 (10.5%)
Totals		1,090	408/1,090 (37%)	682/1,090 (63%)		57/408 (14.0%)	98/682 (14.4%)

IKDC: International Knee Documentation Committee

Table 2. Characteristics by graft type.

	Achilles	BPTB	Tibialis Anterior	Hamstring
Average age in years	25.9	31.7	32.2	31
Male gender	62.1%	44.4%	73.6%	79.7%
Mean follow-up in months	39.5	40.2	42.2	42.5
Mean time to failure in months	18.2	19.9	22	NA
Irradiated	177 (40.2%)	200 (54.8%)	0 (0%)	31 (12.9%)
Non-irradiated	263 (59.8%)	165 (45.2%)	216 (13.4%)	38 (10.5%)
Mean lysholm score	90.9	86.8	88.5	88.5
Mean tegner score	5.3	6.1	5.2	7.2
Mean subjective IKDC	NA	86.6	90.6	85.5
Functional IKDC A/B	286 (88.3%)	157 (77.7%)	145 (86.8%)	61 (88.4%)
Functional IKDC C/D	38 (11.7%)	41 (20.3%)	21 (12.6%)	8 (11.6%)

BPTB: Bone-Patellar Tendon-Bone; IKDC: International Knee Documentation Committee

Table 3. Terminal sterilization technique demographics.

	Irradiated (n = 408)	Non-Irradiated (n = 682)
Age in years	31.7	29.2
Male	41.9%	57.2%
Female	58.1%	42.8%
Achilles allograft	177	263
BTB allograft	200	165
Tibialis anterior allograft	0	216
Hamstring	31	38
Mean follow-up in months	33.6	37.8
Mean time to failure in months	NA	25.3

Table 4. Summary of the failure results.

	Irradiated (n = 408)	Non-Irradiated (n = 682)	p value
Failure: n/Total n (percent)	57/408 (14.0%)	98/682 (14.7%)	0.86
Rupture	28 (6.9%)	37 (5.4%)	
IKDC C/D	5 (1.2%)	57 (8.4%)	
Laxity > 5 mm	24 (5.9%)	4 (0.59%)	
Mean lysholm score	89.2	89.3	N/A
Mean tegner score	6.8	5.6	N/A
Mean IKDC score	84.7	89.7	N/A
Functional IKDC			
A/B:	65/70 (92.9%)	252/309 (81.6%)	N/A
C/D:	5/70 (7.1%)	57/309 (18.4%)	

IKDC: International Knee Documentation Committee

Table 5. Summary of results by radiation dosage.

	2.0 - 2.5 Mrad (n = 135)	1.2 - 1.8 Mrad (n = 273)	p value
Age in years	33.6	31.9	N/A
Percent male	78.4%	57.2%	N/A
Follow-up in months	33.9	37.8	N/A
Time to failure in months	22	25.3	N/A
Failure: n/Total n (percent)	27/135 (20%)	30/273 (10.9%)	p < 0.001
Rupture	0 (0.0%)	28 (10.3%)	
IKDC C/D	5 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	
Laxity > 5 mm	22 (16.3%)	2 (0.73%)	
Mean lysholm	90.5	89.3	N/A
Mean tegner	7.4	5.6	N/A
Mean IKDC	87.8	89.7	N/A
Functional IKDC A/B	97/102 (95.1%)	0 (0.0%)	N/A
Functional IKDC C/D	5/102 (4.9%)	0 (0.0%)	N/A

IKDC: International Knee Documentation Committee

KANSAS JOURNAL *of* MEDICINE

TERMINAL STERILIZATION OF ACL ALLOGRAFTS

continued.

Gamma irradiation causes molecules and ions in the allograft to undergo excitation and chemical reactions such as cross-linking, branching, and grafting.²⁷ This process destroys pathogens but also generates free radicals that can compromise the integrity of the allograft. Recent studies have investigated the use of free radical scavengers to minimize the amount of damage caused by irradiation. Thiourea, a free radical scavenger, results in less collagen damage and less brittle cortical bone in gamma-irradiated allograft bone tissue.²⁸ Seto et al.^{29,30} have shown the radio-protective effects of cross-linking with 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and glucose as well as radical scavengers such as mannitol, ascorbate, and riboflavin. These promising data suggested that further studies are needed to determine which radio-protective agent can be used to maintain the quality of irradiated allograft tissue while also minimizing the risk of disease transmission.

The limitations of this study include all of the limitations inherent to meta-analysis, which depends on the quality of the previous papers. In our review, only three papers were included that were level one evidence. Therefore, there was a lack of high-level of evidence papers among our analyses, which limited the conclusions that can be drawn. Due to there being multiple different papers and surgeons, there were multiple variables not accounted for between the two groups such as surgical technique, graft size, graft tensioning, and rehabilitation. Also, with there being multiple authors in the analysis, there was no standard definition of failure for each study. Therefore, when not explicitly defined and reported in a study, failure was defined as a graft rupture, surgical revision, subjective IKDC score less than 80, Tegner Lysholm knee score less than 65, functional IKDC score of C/D corresponding to abnormal/severely abnormal, or laxity resulting in anterior translation greater than 5 mm measured via either KT-1000 arthrometer or Lachman examination. Whenever possible, or when failures rates varied between criteria, this study used functional IKDC scores, arguing that the patient reported outcome (PRO) more accurately reflects how well the patient is doing clinically. Finally, one study⁴⁴ looking at BioCleanse was excluded due to the control group not being separated by sterilization technique. BioCleanse tissue sterilization process is a non-thermal combination of mechanical and chemical processes that has been reported to inactivate or remove all sources of infectious disease transmission while not compromising the biomechanical and physiological properties of allograft bone and soft tissue and may be a viable alternative to irradiation but was not able to be studied in this systematic review.

CONCLUSIONS

The irradiation of an allograft increases the risk of failure after an ACL reconstruction but the use of lower doses of radiation decreases the risk of failure.

REFERENCES

- ¹ Jost PW, Dy CJ, Robertson CM, Kelly AM. Allograft use in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *HSS J* 2011; 7(3):251-256. PMID: 23024622.
- ² Kraeutler MJ, Bravman JT, McCarty EC. Bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft versus allograft in outcomes of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A meta-analysis of 5182 patients. *Am J Sports Med* 2013; 41(10):2439-2448.
- ³ Maletis GB, Inacio MC, Desmond JL, Funahashi TT. Reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament: Association of graft choice with increased risk of early revision. *Bone Joint J* 2013; 95-B(5):623-628. PMID: 23632671.
- ⁴ Zou S, Dodd RY, Stramer SL, Strong DM, Tissue Safety Study Group. Probability of viremia with HBV, HCV, HIV, and HTLV among tissue donors in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 351(8):751-759. PMID: 15317888.
- ⁵ Roberts TS, Drez D Jr, McCarthy W, Paine R. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using freeze-dried, ethylene oxide-sterilized, bone-patellar tendon-bone allografts. Two year results in thirty-six patients. *Am J Sports Med* 1991; 19(1):35-41. PMID: 2008928.
- ⁶ Jackson DW, Windler GE, Simon TM. Intraarticular reaction associated with the use of freeze-dried, ethylene oxide-sterilized bone-patella tendon-bone allografts in the reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Am J Sports Med* 1990; 18(1):1-10; discussion 10-11. PMID: 2301680.
- ⁷ Rasmussen TJ, Feder SM, Butler DL, Noyes FR. The effects of 4 Mrad of gamma irradiation on the initial mechanical properties of bone-patellar tendon-bone grafts. *Arthroscopy* 1994; 10(2):188-197. PMID: 8003147.
- ⁸ Grieb TA, Forng RY, Stafford RE, et al. Effective use of optimized, high-dose (50 kGy) gamma irradiation for pathogen inactivation of human bone allografts. *Biomaterials* 2005; 26(14):2033-2042. PMID: 15576177.
- ⁹ Smith RA, Ingels J, Lochemes JJ, Dutkowsky JP, Pifer LL. Gamma irradiation of HIV-1. *J Orthop Res* 2001; 19(5):815-819. PMID: 11562126.
- ¹⁰ Rihn JA, Irrgang JJ, Chhabra A, Fu FH, Harner CD. Does irradiation affect the clinical outcome of patellar tendon allograft ACL reconstruction? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2006; 14(9):885-896. PMID: 16502300.
- ¹¹ Shah AA, McCulloch PC, Lowe WR. Failure rate of Achilles tendon allograft in primary anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 2010; 26(5):667-674. PMID: 20434665.
- ¹² Gorschewsky O, Klakow A, Riechert K, Pitzl M, Becker R. Clinical comparison of the Tutoplast allograft and autologous patellar tendon (bone-patellar tendon-bone) for the reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament: 2- and 6-year results. *Am J Sports Med* 2005; 33(8):1202-1209. PMID: 16000670.
- ¹³ Prodromos C, Joyce B, Shi K. A meta-analysis of stability of autografts compared to allografts after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2007; 15(7):851-856. PMID: 17437083.
- ¹⁴ Sun K, Zhang J, Wang Y, et al. A prospective randomized comparison of irradiated and non-irradiated hamstring tendon allograft for ACL reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2012; 20(1):187-194. PMID: 21290113.
- ¹⁵ Park SS, Dwyer T, Congiusta F, Whelan DB, Theodoropoulos J. Analysis of irradiation on the clinical effectiveness of allogenic tissue when used for primary anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2015; 43(1):226-235. PMID: 24477819.
- ¹⁶ Samsell BJ, Moore MA. Use of controlled low dose gamma irradiation to sterilize allograft tendons for ACL reconstruction: Biomechanical and clinical perspective. *Cell Tissue Bank* 2012; 13(2):217-223. PMID: 21431365.
- ¹⁷ Koh WY, Morehouse CT, Chandler VL. Relative Resistances of microorganisms to cathode rays. I. Nonsporeforming bacteria. *Appl Microbiol* 1956; 4(3):143-146. PMID: 13327884.
- ¹⁸ Pepper RE, Buffa NT, Chandler VL. Relative resistances of microorganisms to cathode rays. III. Bacterial spores. *Appl Microbiol* 1956; 4(3):149-152. PMID: 13327886.
- ¹⁹ Bridges AE, Olivo JP, Chandler VL. Relative resistances of microorganisms to cathode rays. II. Yeasts and molds. *Appl Microbiol* 1956; 4(3):147-149. PMID: 13327885.
- ²⁰ Spire B, Dormont D, Barré-Sinoussi F, Montagnier L, Chermann JC. Inactivation of lymphadenopathy-associated virus by heat, gamma rays, and ultraviolet light. *Lancet* 1985; 1(8422):188-189. PMID: 2857267.
- ²¹ Conway B, Tomford W, Mankin HJ, Hirsch MS, Schooley RT. Radiosensitivity of HIV-1--potential application to sterilization of bone allografts. *AIDS* 1991; 5(5):608-609. PMID: 1863419.
- ²² Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Update: Unexplained deaths following knee surgery--Minnesota, 2001. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2001; 50(48):1080. PMID: 11770502.
- ²³ Fideler BM, Vangsness CT Jr, Lu B, Orlando C, Moore T. Gamma irradiation: Effects on biomechanical properties of human bone-patellar tendon-bone allografts. *Am J Sports Med* 1995; 23(5):643-646. PMID: 8526284.
- ²⁴ De Deyne P, Haut RC. Some effects of gamma irradiation on patellar tendon allografts. *Connect Tissue Res* 1991; 27(1):51-62. PMID: 1773614.
- ²⁵ Yanke AB, Bell R, Lee A, et al. The biomechanical effects of 1.0 to 1.2 Mrad of γ irradiation on human bone-patellar tendon-bone allografts. *Am J Sports Med* 2013; 41(4):835-840. PMID: 23388672.
- ²⁶ Giedraitis A, Arnoczky SP, Bedi A. Allografts in soft tissue reconstructive procedures: Important considerations. *Sports Health* 2014; 6(3):256-264. PMID: 24790696.
- ²⁷ Hoburg AT, Keschlaf S, Schmidt T, et al. Effect of electron beam irradiation on biomechanical properties of patellar tendon allografts in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2010; 38(6):1134-1140. PMID: 2036605.
- ²⁸ Akkus O, Belaney RM, Das P. Free radical scavenging alleviates the biomechanical impairment of gamma radiation sterilized bone tissue. *J Orthop Res* 2005; 23(4):838-845. PMID: 16022998.
- ²⁹ Seto A, Gatt CJ Jr, Dunn MG. Improved tendon radioprotection by combined cross-linking and free radical scavenging. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2009; 467(11):2994-3001. PMID: 19543778.
- ³⁰ Seto A, Gatt CJ Jr, Dunn MG. Radioprotection of tendon tissue via crosslinking and free radical scavenging. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2008; 466(8):1788-1795. PMID: 18512113.
- ³¹ Rappé M, Horodyski M, Meister K, Indelicato PA. Nonirradiated versus irradiated Achilles allograft: In vivo failure comparison. *Am J Sports Med* 2007; 35(10):1653-1658. PMID: 17517908.
- ³² Sun K, Tian S, Zhang J, Xia C, Zhang C, Yu T. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with BPTB autograft, irradiated versus non-irradiated allograft: A prospective randomized clinical study. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2009; 17(5):464-474. PMID: 19139845.
- ³³ Singhal MC, Gardiner JR, Johnson DL. Failure of primary anterior cruciate ligament surgery using anterior tibialis allograft. *Arthroscopy* 2007; 23(5):469-475. PMID: 17478276.
- ³⁴ Smith CK, Howell SM, Hull ML. Anterior laxity, slippage, and recovery of function in the first year after tibialis allograft anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2011; 39(1):78-88. PMID: 20929933.
- ³⁵ Barrett GR, Lubert K, Replogle WH, Manley JL. Allograft anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the young, active patient: Tegner activity level and failure rate. *Arthroscopy* 2010; 26(12):1593-1601. PMID: 20952145.
- ³⁶ Poehling GG, Curl WW, Lee CA, et al. Analysis of outcomes of anterior cruciate ligament repair with 5-year follow-up: Allograft versus autograft. *Arthroscopy* 2005; 21(7):774-785. PMID: 16012489.
- ³⁷ Kustos T, Bálint L, Than P, Bárdos T. Comparative study of autograft or allograft in primary anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Int Orthop* 2004; 28(5):290-293. PMID: 15168083.
- ³⁸ Noh JH, Yi SR, Song SJ, Kim SW, Kim W. Comparison between hamstring autograft and free tendon Achilles allograft: Minimum 2-year follow-up after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using EndoButton and Intrafix. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2011; 19(5):816-822. PMID: 21290116.
- ³⁹ Kim SJ, Bae JH, Lim HC. Comparison of Achilles and tibialis anterior tendon allografts after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2014; 22(1):135-141. PMID: 23223949.
- ⁴⁰ Li H, Tao H, Cho S, Chen S, Yao Z, Chen S. Difference in graft maturity of the reconstructed anterior cruciate ligament 2 years postoperatively: A comparison between autografts and allografts in young men using clinical and 3.0-T magnetic resonance imaging evaluation. *Am J Sports Med* 2012; 40(7):1519-1526. PMID: 22495290.
- ⁴¹ Noh JH, Yang BG, Yi SR, Roh YH, Lee JS. Single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in active young men using bone-tendon achilles allograft versus free tendon achilles allograft. *Arthroscopy* 2013; 29(3):507-513. PMID: 23369444.
- ⁴² Lawhorn KW, Howell SM, Traina SM, Gottlieb JE, Meade TD, Freeberg HI. The effect of graft tissue on anterior cruciate ligament outcomes: A multicenter, prospective, randomized controlled trial comparing autograft hamstrings with fresh-frozen anterior tibialis allograft. *Arthroscopy* 2012; 28(8):1079-1086. PMID: 22840898.

⁴³ Barber FA, Aziz-Jacobo J, Oro FB. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using patellar tendon allograft: An age-dependent outcome evaluation. *Arthroscopy* 2010; 26(4):488-493. PMID: 20362827.

⁴⁴ Indelicato PA, Ciccotti MG, Boyd J, Higgins LD, Shaffer BS, Vangsness CT Jr. Aseptically processed and chemically sterilized BTB allografts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A prospective randomized study. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2013; 21(9):2107-2112.

Keywords: anterior cruciate ligament, allografts, reconstructive surgical procedures, radiation therapy